IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REV. APPLICATION NO.230 OF 2006

Altaf Yusuf Ali Mukadam .. Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors. .. Respondents

Taraq K. Sayed for applicant

Mr.R.Y.Mirza for respondent No.1

Mr.S.S.Pednekar, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 10th November 2006.

P.C.

. Learned Advocate appearing for applicant

states that office objections will be complied with within Ten days from today.

2. In the light of this statement and for the reasons set out in the application, delay in filing restoration application for seeking restoration of revision application, which is dismissed in default, is condoned and the Revision Application is restored to file.

3. Explanation for not being able to comply with the office objections within time is accepted. Criminal Revision Application No.549 of 2002 is restored to file. However, applicant to pay cost quantified at Rs.1000/- to Maharashtra Legal Aid Authority within the period in which office objections are to be complied with.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)